

# Proposed amendment to GCU Appendix 9

## **Record of amendments**

| Amended by         | Date       | Paragraph | Amendment                                   |
|--------------------|------------|-----------|---|
| Jean-Marc Blondé   | 20/03/2019 |           | Draft                                       |
|                    |            |           |   |
| TTI WG decision    | 24/03/2019 |           | See minutes of TTI WG meeting of March 2020 |
| Approved by SG WU  | 26/05/2020 |           | See minutes of WU SG meeting of May 2020    |
| Approved by JC GCU | 15/06/2020 |           |   |

| Title:   | Loss of load, code 7.1.10 this is new one  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|
| Proposed amendment made by: RU / keeper / other body | SBB Cargo AG   |  |  |
| Proposed amendment concerns:                         |  |  |  |
| Proposer:  | Jean-Marc Blondé   |  |  |
| Location, date:                                      | Olten, 20/01/2020  |  |  |
| Concise description:                                 | A conventional freight wagon may have loss of load and a code to this effect is missing in Appendix 9. |  |  |

Konwencjonalny wagon towarowy może mieć utratę ładunku i kod do tego efektu brakuje w dodatku 9.

A2020-07 25/06/2020 1/4

# 1 Starting point (current situation):

| 1.1.  | Introduction   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| Load may also be lost on conventional freight wagons. There is no code provided for this. |  |  |  |  |
| 1.2.  | Mode of operation  |  |  |  |
| -   |  |  |  |  |
| 1.3.  | Anomaly / description of problem   |  |  |  |
| A cod   | e for loss of load on a conventional freight wagon should be included in Appendix 9.   |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |  |
| 1.4.  | Does this concern a recognised code of practice* (e.g. DIN, EN)?   |  |  |  |
| "Technic<br>are gene<br>objective   | Yes (state which):  of practice: a written set of rules that, when correctly applied, can be used to control one or more specific hazards." (source: ion EC 352/2009, Article 3)  cal provisions laid down in writing or conveyed verbally and pertaining to procedures, equipment and modes of operation which erally agreed by the populations concerned (specialists, users, consumer and public authorities) to be suitable for achieving the e prescribed by law, and which have either proven their worth in practice or, it is generally agreed, are likely to within a ible period of time" (translation/source: BMJ Handbuch der Rechtsförmlichkeit – German Ministry of Justice) |  |  |  |
| 2. Target situation  2.1. Elimination of anomaly/problem (goal)                           |  |  |  |  |

A2020-07 25/06/2020 2/4

# 3. Additional text and/or change relates only to proposed amendments to GCU Appendix 9:

Amendment colour code:

Black: Current text, for info and remains unchanged

Red: new text

Blue: (if crossed out): text to be deleted

| Component                                | Code<br>no. | Irregularities/Criteria/Notes  | Action<br>to be<br>taken               | Irregularity class |
|--|-------------|--|--|--------------------|
| Loads and intermodal loading units (ILU) |             |  |  |                    |
| Load in<br>general                       |             |  |  |                    |
| Loss of load                             | 7.1.10      | Loss of load (except tank wagons/tank containers), excluding other restrictions (see also codes 6.1.4.2, 6.1.5.2, 6.1.6.4, 6.1.6.6, 6.3.1.2, 6.4.1.4, 6.4.2.2 and 7.5.5.3) | Rectify. If not possible, detach wagon | 5                  |

#### 4. Reason:

A code for loss of load on conventional freight wagons must be provided in Appendix 9 in order to ensure that communication to the parties concerned is documented.

### 5. Assess potential positive/negative impacts

E.g. on operations, costs, administration, interoperability, safety, competitiveness, etc., using a scale of 1 (very low) to 5 (very high).

Justify observations

Impacts:

Operations, Interoperability, Competitiveness, Cost, Management: 3

Safety: 4

A2020-07 25/06/2020 3/4

## 6. Safety appraisal of proposed amendment

Description of actual/target system, and scope of change to be made (see points 1 and 2). The risk study becomes obsolete insofar as only the known repositories are implemented Safety study conducted by:

| 6.1. Does the change make impact on safety?  | ⊠No ☐ Yes  |
|--|------------|
| Reason:  |            |
| 6.2. Is the change significant?  | ⊠No ☐ Yes  |
| Reason: see template.  |            |
| Attach the significant change test template  |            |
| 6.3. Determining and classifying risk:   | ⊠ deleted  |
| <ul> <li>6.3.1. Effect of change in normal operation:</li> <li>6.3.2. Effect of change in the event of disruption / deviation from normal operation:</li> <li>6.3.3. Potential misuse of system:</li> <li>No</li> <li>Yes (describe possible misuse):</li> </ul> |            |
| 6.4. Have safety measures been applied?  | ⊠No ☐ Yes  |
| For each type of risk, one of the following risk acceptance criteria is to be selected:  • "Code of practice" (acknowledged technical rules)  • Use of reference system  • Explicit risk estimate  |            |
| 6.5. Has a risk analysis been submitted to the assessment body?  | ⊠No ☐ Yes  |
| Assessment body:   |            |
| Attach the verdict reached by the assessment body:   | [appendix] |